

m -BIGNESS IN COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS

PAUL-JAMES WHITE

ABSTRACT. Taylor-Wiles type lifting theorems allow one to deduce that if ρ is a “sufficiently nice” l -adic representation of the absolute Galois group of a number field whose semi-simplified reduction modulo l , denoted $\bar{\rho}$, comes from an automorphic representation then so does ρ . The recent lifting theorems of Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor impose a technical condition, called *m-big*, upon the residual representation $\bar{\rho}$. Snowden-Wiles proved that for a sufficiently irreducible compatible system of Galois representations, the residual images are *big* at a set of places of Dirichlet density 1. We demonstrate the analogous result in the *m-big* setting using a mild generalization of their argument.

Résumé

m -bigness dans les systèmes compatibles. Les Théorèmes de type Taylor-Wiles indiquent qu’une représentation l -adique du groupe Galois d’un corps de nombre est automorphe si sa réduction modulo l est automorphe et si cette représentation satisfait de bonnes propriétés. Une condition technique mais cruciale qui apparaît dans le travail récent de Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor est que la représentation résiduelle soit *m-big*. Snowden-Wiles ont démontré que pour un système compatible de représentations suffisamment irréductibles, que les images résiduelles sont alors *big* pour un ensemble de Dirichlet densité 1. Nous démontrons ici un résultat analogue dans le cadre de *m-big* par une généralisation de la démonstration de Snowden-Wiles.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling the condition *m-big* (cf. [2, Definition 7.2]). Let m be a positive integer, let l be a rational prime, let k be a finite field of characteristic l , let V be a finite dimensional k -vector space and let $G \subset GL(V)$ be a subgroup. For $g \in GL(V)$ and $\alpha \in k$, we shall write h_g for the *characteristic polynomial* of g and $V_{g,\alpha}$ for the α -*generalized eigenspace* of g .

Definition 1.1. The subgroup G is said to be *m-big* if it satisfies the following properties.

- (B1) The group G has no non-trivial quotient of l -power order.
- (B2) The space V is absolutely irreducible as a G -module.
- (B3) $H^1(G, \text{ad}^\circ V) = 0$
- (B4) For all irreducible G -submodules W of $\text{ad } V$, there exists $g \in G, \alpha \in k$ and $f \in W$ such that:
 - The composite $V_{g,\alpha} \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} V \twoheadrightarrow V_{g,\alpha}$ is non-zero.
 - α is a simple root of h_g .
 - If $\beta \in \bar{k}$ is another root of h_g then $\alpha^m \neq \beta^m$.

Remark 1.2. The condition *big* appearing in [3] corresponds here to the condition 1-*big*.

Date: September 13, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F80.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let F be a number field, let E be a Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, let L be a full set of places of E and for each $w \in L$, let $\rho_w : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F) \rightarrow GL_n(E_w)$ be a continuous representation and let $\Delta_w \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ be a normal open subgroup. Assume that the following properties are satisfied.*

- *The ρ_w form a compatible system of representations.*
- *ρ_w is absolutely irreducible when restricted to any open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ for all $w \in L$.*
- *$\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)/\Delta_w$ is cyclic of order prime to l where l denotes the residual characteristic of w , for all $w \in L$.*
- *$[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F) : \Delta_w] \rightarrow \infty$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$.*

Then there exists a set of places P of $\mathbf{Q}$ of Dirichlet density $1/[E : \mathbf{Q}]$, all of which split completely in E , such that, for all $w \in L$ lying above a place $l \in P$:

- i) $\overline{\rho}_w(\Delta_w)$ is an m -big subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_l)$.
- ii) $[\ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w : \Delta_w \cap \ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w] > m$.

Here, as usual, $\overline{\rho}_w$ denotes the semi-simplified reduction modulo l of ρ_w . For the definition of a compatible system and a full set of places, see Section 6.

Remark 1.4. The first part of the theorem is a mild generalization, from the setting of bigness to m -bigness, of the main result of Snowden-Wiles [3]. The result shall be proved by considering their arguments in the m -bigness setting combined with a slight strengthening of [3, Proposition 4.1] by Proposition 3.1.

The second part of the theorem proves another technical result required for the application of automorphy lifting theorems (see [1]). The proof of this result uses an argument of Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor that originally appeared in [1].

The format of this article mirrors that of Snowden-Wiles [3]. We content ourselves here to remark upon the minor changes to [3] that are needed to obtain the above result.

1.1. Notation. Our notation is as in Snowden-Wiles [3]. More specifically, unless explicitly mentioned otherwise, we adhere to the following conventions. Reductive algebraic groups are assumed connected. A semi-simple algebraic group G defined over a field k is *simply connected* if the root datum of $G_{\overline{k}}$ is simply connected. If S is a scheme, then a group scheme G/S is *semi-simple* if it is smooth, affine and its geometric fibers are semi-simple connected algebraic groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Michael Harris for his continual support and direction. I would also like to thank the referee for their helpful comments.

2. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF m -BIGNESS

In [3, §2], a series of results concerning elementary properties of bigness are demonstrated. We remark that the arguments appearing there trivially generalize to give the following results on m -bigness.

Proposition 2.1. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G . If H satisfies the properties (B2), (B3) and (B4) then G does as well. In particular, if H is m -big and the index $[G : H]$ is prime to l then G is m -big.*

Proposition 2.2. *The group G is m -big if and only if $k^\times G$ is m -big where $k^\times$ denotes the group of scalar matrices in $GL(V)$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let k'/k be a finite extension, let $V' = V \otimes_k k'$ and let G be an m -big subgroup of $GL(V)$. Then G is also an m -big subgroup of $GL(V')$.*

3. HIGHLY REGULAR ELEMENTS OF SEMI-SIMPLE GROUPS

We recall the norm utilized by Snowden-Wiles [3, §3.2]. Let k be a field, let G/k be a reductive algebraic group and let $T_{\bar{k}}$ be a maximal torus of $G \times_k \bar{k}$. For $\lambda \in X^*(T_{\bar{k}})$ a weight, one defines $\|\lambda\| \in \bar{k}$ to be the maximal value of $|\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle|$ as α runs through the roots of $G \times_k \bar{k}$ with respect to $T_{\bar{k}}$. For V a representation of G , one defines $\|V\|$ to be the maximal value of $\|\lambda\|$ where λ runs through the weights λ appearing in $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$.

The following result is a slight strengthening of [3, Proposition 4.1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let k be a finite field of cardinality q , Let G/k be a semi-simple algebraic group, let T be a maximal torus of G defined over k , let m and n be positive integers and assume that q is large compared to $\dim G$, n and m . Then, there exists an element $g \in T(k)$ for which the map*

$$\{\lambda \in X^*(T_{\bar{k}}) : \|\lambda\| < n\} \rightarrow \bar{k}^\times, \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda(g)^m$$

is injective.

Proof. The proof shall follow that of [3, Proposition 4.1] with the difference that we are considering here characters of the form λ^m instead of λ .

To begin let $S := \{\lambda \in X^*(T_{\bar{k}}) : \lambda \neq 1, \|\lambda\| < 2n\}$. We claim that

$$T(k) \not\subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in S} \ker \lambda^m$$

This is equivalent to the statement

$$T(k) \neq \bigcup_{\lambda \in S} \ker \lambda^m \cap T(k)$$

The later statement shall be proved by considering the cardinality of the two sides. Firstly, by [3, Lemma 4.2], $|T(k)| \geq (q-1)^r$ where r denotes the rank of T . Consider now the right hand side. We remark that for $\lambda \in X^*(T_{\bar{k}})$,

$$|\ker \lambda^m \cap T(k)| \leq R_{m,q} |\ker \lambda \cap T(k)|$$

where $R_{m,q}$ denotes the cardinality of the kernel of the map

$$k^\times \rightarrow k^\times, \quad k \mapsto k^m$$

Furthermore, we can ensure that $R_{m,q}/q$ is as small as desired simply by considering q sufficiently large with respect to m . We can now bound the cardinality of the right hand side by

$$NR_{m,q}M$$

where the terms are defined as follows.

- N is equal to the cardinality of S , which by [3, Lemma 4.3] is bounded in terms of $\dim G$ and n .
- M is equal to the maximum cardinality of $\ker \lambda \cap T(k)$ for $\lambda \in S$, which by [3, Lemma 4.4] is bounded by $C(q+1)^{r-1}$ for some constant C depending only upon $\dim G$ and n .

Thus for q sufficiently large with respect to $\dim G$, n and m , the cardinality of the right hand side is strictly less than that of the cardinality of the left hand side and the claim follows.

As such we can choose a $g \in T(k)$ such that $g \notin \ker \lambda^m$ for all $\lambda \in S$. Then, for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in X^*(T_{\bar{k}})$ such that $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, $\|\lambda\| < n$ and $\|\lambda'\| < n$, we have that $\lambda - \lambda' \in S$ and it follows that $\lambda(g)^m \neq \lambda'(g)^m$. $\square$

4. m -BIGNESS FOR ALGEBRAIC REPRESENTATIONS

We show here that [3, Proposition 5.1] naturally generalizes to the setting of m -bigness.

Proposition 4.1. *Let m be a positive integer, let k be a finite field, let G/k be a reductive algebraic group and let ρ be an absolutely irreducible representation of G on a k -vector space V . Assume that the characteristic of k is large compared to m , $\dim V$ and $\|V\|$. Then $\rho(G(k))$ is an m -big subgroup of $GL(V)$.*

Proof. Firstly, we note that by [3, Proposition 5.1] the conditions (B1), (B2) and (B3) are satisfied. Thus, it only remains to check the condition (B4) (in the m -bigness setting). The proof is almost identical to the 1-bigness case (cf. [3, Proposition 4.1]); the sole difference comes from appealing to Proposition 3.1 in lieu of [3, Proposition 4.1].

More specifically, as in [3, Proposition 5.1], one begins by reducing to the case where G is semi-simple, simply connected and the kernel of ρ is finite. Choose a Borel B of G defined over k ; this is possible as every reductive group scheme defined over a finite field is quasi-split. Let T be a maximal torus of B and let U be the unipotent radical of B . The representation $V_{\bar{k}} = V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ decomposes via its weights:

$$V_{\bar{k}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S} V_{\bar{k}, \lambda}$$

where S denotes the set of weights of $(G_{\bar{k}}, T_{\bar{k}})$. By Proposition 3.1, we can find a $g \in T(k)$ such that

$$\lambda(g)^m \neq \lambda'(g)^m \text{ for all distinct } \lambda, \lambda' \in S$$

We remark that (ignoring multiplicity) the eigenvalues of g in $V_{\bar{k}}$ are equal to $\{\lambda(g) : \lambda \in S\}$. It follows that the generalized g -eigenspaces are equal to the weight spaces:

$$V_{\bar{k}, g, \lambda(g)} = V_{\bar{k}, \lambda} \text{ for all } \lambda \in S$$

Let λ_0 be the highest weight space (with respect to B) and let $V_{\bar{k}, 0} := V_{\bar{k}, \lambda_0}$ be the corresponding highest weight space. In fact $V_{\bar{k}, 0} = V^U \otimes_k \bar{k}$ and as such $\lambda_0(g) \in k$. By [3, Proposition 3.7], $V_{\bar{k}, 0}$ is 1-dimensional. That is, $\lambda_0(g)$ is a simple root of h_g , the characteristic polynomial of g . Furthermore, by the properties of g , the m -th powers of the roots of h_g are distinct.

Finally it is shown in the proof of [3, Proposition 5.1] that for each irreducible G -submodule W of $\text{ad } V$, there exists a $f \in W$ such that the composite

$$V_{g, \lambda_0(g)} \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} V \twoheadrightarrow V_{g, \lambda_0(g)}$$

is non-zero. □

5. m -BIGNESS FOR NEARLY HYPERSPECIAL GROUPS

Let l be a rational prime, let $K/\mathbf{Q}_l$ be a finite field extension, let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers and let k be the residue field. For G an algebraic group over K , we define the following K -algebraic groups.

- G° : the connected identity component.
- G^{ad} : the adjoint algebraic group, which is the quotient of G° by its radical.
- G^{sc} : the simply connected algebraic group cover of G^{ad} .

We have the natural maps:

$$G \xrightarrow{\sigma} G^{\text{ad}} \xleftarrow{\tau} G^{\text{sc}}$$

Following Snowden-Wiles [3], we shall call a subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(K)$ *nearly hyperspecial* if $\tau^{-1}(\sigma(\Gamma))$ is a hyperspecial subgroup of $G^{\text{sc}}(K)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let m be a positive integer, let Γ be a profinite group and let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ be an open normal subgroup. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ be a continuous representation and let G be the Zariski closure of its image. Assume that the following properties are satisfied.*

- *The characteristic l of k is large compared to n and m .*
- *The restriction of ρ to any open subgroup of Γ is absolutely irreducible.*
- *The index of G° in G is small compared to l .*
- *The subgroup $\rho(\Gamma) \cap G^\circ(K)$ of G° is nearly hyperspecial.*
- *Γ/Δ is cyclic of order prime to l .*

Then the following holds.

- *$\bar{\rho}(\Delta)$ is an m -big subgroup of $GL_n(k)$.*
- *There exists a constant C depending only upon n such that*

$$[\ker \text{ad } \bar{\rho} : \Delta \cap \ker \text{ad } \bar{\rho}] > [\Gamma : \Delta]/C$$

Proof. Let us remark that the first statement is proved in almost the same way as the proof of [3, Proposition 6.1]. There are two minor differences, firstly we appeal here to Proposition 4.1 instead of [3, Proposition 5.1] and secondly we use an argument of Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor to deduce the m -bigness of $\bar{\rho}(\Delta)$ instead of $\bar{\rho}(\Gamma)$. The proof of the second statement is due to Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor and originally appeared in [1].

Let $\Gamma^\circ = \rho^{-1}(G^\circ)$ and let $\Delta^\circ = \Delta \cap \Gamma^\circ$. Then $\bar{\rho}(\Delta^\circ)$ is a normal subgroup of $\bar{\rho}(\Delta)$ and its index divides $[G : G^\circ][\Gamma : \Delta]$, which, by assumption, is prime to l (recall l is sufficiently large with respect to $[G : G^\circ]$). Thus, by Proposition 2.1, to prove that $\bar{\rho}(\Delta)$ is m -big it suffices to prove that $\bar{\rho}(\Delta^\circ)$ is m -big. Similarly, to prove the second part of the theorem it clearly suffices to prove the analogous statement for Γ° and Δ° . As such, we can now assume that $G = G^\circ$.

Let $V = K^n$ be the representation space of ρ . By [3, Lemma 6.3], we can find the following.

- A Γ -stable lattice Λ in V .
- A semi-simple group scheme $\tilde{G}/\mathcal{O}_K$ whose generic fiber is equal to G^{sc} .
- A representation $r : \tilde{G} \rightarrow GL(\Lambda)$ which induces the natural map $G^{sc} \rightarrow G$ on the generic fiber.

These objects can be chosen such that

- $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot r(\tilde{G}(\mathcal{O}_K))$ is an open normal subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cdot \rho(\Gamma)$, whose index can be bounded by a constant C defined in terms of n .

Furthermore, the generic fiber of r is necessarily an absolutely irreducible representation of $\tilde{G}_K$ on V .

By [3, Proposition 3.5], $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of $\tilde{G} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ and its norm is bounded in terms of n . Now $\tilde{G} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ is a semi-simple, simply connected, algebraic group and hence a finite product of simple, simply connected, k -algebraic groups. As $l > 4$, we have that $\tilde{G}(k)$ is perfect (cf. [4]). It follows, as Δ is a normal subgroup of Γ whose quotient is abelian, that we have the following chain of normal subgroups.

$$k^\times r(\tilde{G}(k)) \leq k^\times \bar{\rho}(\Delta) \leq k^\times \bar{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Furthermore, $[k^\times \bar{\rho}(\Gamma) : k^\times r(\tilde{G}(k))] < C$. The second part of the theorem is now immediate.

The first part of the theorem is proved as follows. Proposition 4.1 implies that $r(\tilde{G}(k))$ is m -big. Then, Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.1 allow one to deduce that $\bar{\rho}(\Delta)$ is m -big.

□

6. m -BIGNESS FOR COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS

Definition 6.1. A *group with Frobenii* is a triple $(\Gamma, \mathcal{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}})$ where Γ is a profinite group, $\mathcal{P}$ is an index set and $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a dense set of elements of Γ . The $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ are called the *Frobenii* of the group.

Remark 6.2. If F is a number field then the corresponding global Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ is naturally a group with Frobenii.

Definition 6.3. A *compatible system of representations* (with coefficients in a number field E) is a triple $(L, \mathcal{X}, \{\rho_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in L})$ where L is a set of places of E , $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{P} \times L$ is a subset and each $\rho_\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(E_\lambda)$ is a continuous representation, such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, the set $\{\lambda \in L : (\alpha, \lambda) \notin \mathcal{X}\}$ is finite.
- For all finite sets of places $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in L$, the set $\cap_{i=1}^k \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : (\alpha, \lambda_i) \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is dense in Γ .
- For all $(\alpha, \lambda) \in \mathcal{X}$, the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_\lambda(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ has coefficients in E and depends only upon α .

The set of places L is said to be *full* if there exists a set L' of rational primes of Dirichlet density 1 such that for all places λ of E lying above an $l \in L'$, we have that $\lambda \in L$.

The main theorem can now be stated. It is a mild generalization of [3, Theorem 8.1] and is proved in the same way by simply appealing to Proposition 5.1 instead of [3, Proposition 6.1]

Theorem 6.4. Let m be a positive integer, let $(\Gamma, \mathcal{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}})$ be a group with Frobenii, let E be a Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, let L be a full set of places of E and for each $w \in L$, let $\rho_w : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(E_w)$ be a continuous representation and let $\Delta_w \subset \Gamma$ be a normal open subgroup. Assume the following properties are satisfied.

- The ρ_w form a compatible system of representations.
- ρ_w is absolutely irreducible when restricted to any open subgroup of Γ for all $w \in L$.
- Γ/Δ_w is cyclic of order prime to l where l denotes the residual characteristic of w .
- $[\Gamma : \Delta_w] \rightarrow \infty$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$.

Then there exists a set of places P of $\mathbf{Q}$ of Dirichlet density $1/[E : \mathbf{Q}]$, all of which split completely in E , such that, for all $w \in L$ lying above a place $l \in P$:

- i) $\overline{\rho}_w(\Delta_w)$ is an m -big subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_l)$.
- ii) $[\ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w : \Delta_w \cap \ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w] > m$.

Theorem 1.3 is then the special case of the above theorem where Γ is the absolute Galois group of a number field.

7. VERSION FRANÇAISE ABRÉGÉE

Le but de cet article est de faire les modifications nécessaires au travail de Snowden-Wiles [3] afin de généraliser leurs résultats sur 1-*big* à m -*big*. La définition de m -*big* est rappelée dans Définition 1.1. Elle est une condition technique qui apparaît dans les généralisations récentes de la méthode de Taylor-Wiles aux groupes unitaires (cf. [1]). Le résultat principal de cet article est le théorème suivant (cf. Theorem 1.3).

Théorème 7.1. Soient $m \in \mathbf{N}$, F un corps de nombres, E une extension galoisienne de $\mathbf{Q}$ et L un ensemble plein de places de E . Pour tous $w \in L$, soient $\rho_w : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F) \rightarrow GL_n(E_w)$ une représentation continue semi-simple et $\Delta_w \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ un sous-groupe normal ouvert. Supposons que les propriétés suivantes sont satisfaites :

- Les ρ_w forment un système compatible de représentations.
- Pour tout $w \in L$, la restriction de ρ_w à n'importe quel sous-groupe ouvert de $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ est absolument irréductible.
- Pour tout $w \in L$, $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)/\Delta_w$ est cyclique d'ordre premier à la caractéristique résiduelle de w .
- $[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F) : \Delta_w] \rightarrow \infty$ lorsque $w \rightarrow \infty$.

Alors il existe un ensemble de places P de $\mathbf{Q}$ de densité $1/[E : \mathbf{Q}]$, qui sont toutes totalement déployées dans E , et telles que, pour tout $w \in L$ au-dessus une place $l \in P$:

- i) $\overline{\rho}_w(\Delta_w)$ est un sous-groupe m -big de $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_l)$.
- ii) $[\ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w : \Delta_w \cap \ker \text{ad } \overline{\rho}_w] > m$.

Remarque 7.1. La première partie de ce théorème est une généralisation du résultat principal de Snowden-Wiles [3]. La démonstration suit leurs arguments, en appliquant Proposition 3.1 au lieu de [3, Proposition 4.1].

La deuxième partie est un résultat de Barnet-Lamb-Gee-Geraghty-Taylor qui est apparu à l'origine dans [1].

Le plan de cet article est pareil à celui de [3]. Section 2 démontre quelques propriétés de m -big qui étaient démontrées pour 1-big dans [3, §2]. Section 3 démontre Proposition 3.1 qui améliore légèrement [3, Proposition 4.1]. Ce résultat est appliqué dans Section 4 pour démontrer que l'image de certaines représentations algébriques est m -big (cf. Proposition 4.1 qui améliore [3, Proposition 5.1]). Finalement, Section 5 et Section 6 appliquent ce résultat pour démontrer le théorème principal.

RÉFÉRENCES

- [1] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty and R. Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, preprint, 2010.
- [2] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris and R. Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II*, preprint, 2010.
- [3] A. Snowden and A. Wiles, *Bigness in compatible systems*, preprint, 2009
- [4] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1968, Notes prepared by J. Faulkner and R. Wilson.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS 7, PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: pauljames@math.jussieu.fr